

ON SIMPLE PERMANENCE

DRAGAN S. DJORDJEVIĆ

*University of Niš, Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, Višegradaska 33, P.O. Box 224,
18000 Niš, Serbia.
E-Mail dragandjordjevic70@gmail.com*

ROBIN E. HARTE

*Trinity College, Dublin, Ireland.
E-Mail rharte@maths.tcd.ie*

SNEŽANA Č. ŽIVKOVIĆ-ZLATANOVIĆ

*University of Niš, Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, Višegradaska 33, P.O. Box 224,
18000 Niš, Serbia.
E-Mail mladvlad@open.telekom.rs*

ABSTRACT. “Simple permanence” is one of several variants of “spectral permanence”, which are curiously interrelated.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 46H05, 47A05, 47A53.

Key words: Simply polar elements, semigroup homomorphisms.

0. Introduction. This is a reworking of our previous note [DZH], in which we deployed “Drazin permanence” and *quasipolar* Banach algebra elements in the proof of a variant of the “spectral permanence” enjoyed by C^* algebra embeddings. Here we use instead “simple permanence” and *simply polar* elements of semigroups and rings: we believe that the argument is now more transparent and more elementary.

1. Generalized permanence. If $T : A \rightarrow B$ is a “semigroup homomorphism” [DZH] then there is inclusion

$$1.1 \quad T(A^{-1}) \subseteq B^{-1} \subseteq B,$$

where A^{-1} is the invertible group of A and hence also

$$1.2 \quad A^{-1} \subseteq T^{-1}B^{-1} \subseteq A;$$

equality here is what is known as the “Gelfand property” or *spectral permanence* for the homomorphism T :

$$1.3 \quad T^{-1}B^{-1} \subseteq A^{-1}.$$

¹This research is supported by the Ministry of Science and Technological Development of Serbia, grant no. 174007.

More generally the “relatively regular” elements

$$1.4 \quad A^\cap = \{a \in A : a \in aAa\}$$

satisfy

$$1.5 \quad A^{-1} = A_{left}^{-1} \cap A_{right}^{-1} \subseteq A_{left}^{-1} \cup A_{right}^{-1} \subseteq A^\cap$$

and if $T : A \rightarrow B$ is a semigroup homomorphism then

$$1.6 \quad T(A^\cap) \subseteq B^\cap \subseteq B$$

and hence

$$1.7 \quad A^\cap \subseteq T^{-1}B^\cap \subseteq A.$$

Equality in this case will be described as *generalized permanence* for T :

$$1.8 \quad T^{-1}B^\cap \subseteq A^\cap.$$

We recall [DZH] that spectral permanence does not by itself imply generalized permanence:

THEOREM 1. *For ring homomorphisms $T : A \rightarrow B$ there is the implication that*

$$1.9 \quad \text{spectral and generalized permanence together imply one-one.}$$

Proof. Generally $T : A \rightarrow B$ has spectral permanence only if

$$1.10 \quad T^{-1}(0) \subseteq \text{Rad}(A)$$

has generalized permanence only if

$$1.11 \quad T^{-1}(0) \subseteq A^\cap$$

and evidently

$$1.12 \quad \text{Rad}(A) \cap A^\cap = O \equiv \{0\}$$

where

$$1.13 \quad \text{Rad}(A) = \{a \in A : 1 - Aa \subseteq A^{-1}\}. \quad \square$$

For a specific example consider the *composition operator*

$$1.14 \quad T = R_\varphi : A = \mathbf{C}^X \rightarrow B = \mathbf{C}^Y$$

induced by $\varphi : Y \rightarrow X$ where

$$1.15 \quad R_\varphi(a) = a \circ \varphi \quad (a \in A).$$

Notice

$$A^\cap = A; \quad A^{-1} = \{a \in A : a^{-1}(0) = \emptyset\};$$

of course

$$R_\varphi^{-1}(0) = \{0\} \iff \varphi(Y) = X.$$

2. Simple polarity. If $a \in A$ has a commuting generalized inverse we shall call it “group invertible” or *simply polar*:

$$2.1 \quad \text{SP}(A) = \{a \in A : a \in a \text{ comm}(a)a\}.$$

If $T : A \rightarrow B$ is a homomorphism then

$$2.2 \quad T\text{SP}(A) \subseteq \text{SP}(B) \subseteq B$$

equivalently

$$2.3 \quad \text{SP}(A) \subseteq T^{-1}\text{SP}(B) \subseteq A.$$

When there is equality here we say that T has *simple permanence*. If we think of the counterimage $T^{-1}B^{-1}$ as in some sense “Fredholm” elements of the semigroup A then the counterimage $T^{-1}\text{SP}(B)$ abstracts what Caradus [C] and Schmoeger [S] have called *generalized Fredholm* operators.

Evidently $a \in A$ is simply polar if and only if it is the commuting product of an invertible and an idempotent; also necessary and sufficient for $a \in A$ to be simply polar is ([X], [HLu]) that

$$2.4 \quad a \in Aa^2 \cap a^2A :$$

recall

$$a^2u = a = va^2 \implies aua = a = ava$$

and take $c = vau$ for a “group inverse”. Also necessary and sufficient for $a \in \text{SP}(A)$ in a ring A is ([S]; [KDH] Theorem 5) that there be a “semigroup inverse” $c \in A$ for which

$$2.5 \quad a = aca; 1 - ac - ca \in A^{-1}.$$

Notice also

$$2.6 \quad \text{SP}(A) \subseteq A^{\cup} = \{a \in A : a \in aA^{-1}a\} :$$

observe that $a + (1 - ac)$ and $cac + (1 - ac)$ are mutually inverse. It follows

$$2.7 \quad \text{SP}(A) \cap A_{left}^{-1} = A^{-1} = \text{SP}(A) \cap A_{right}^{-1} :$$

the simply polars are [DHS] “left-right consistent”.

THEOREM 2. *If $B_{left}^{-1} \neq B_{right}^{-1}$ there is $T : A \rightarrow B$ which is one one and has spectral but not generalized permanence.*

Proof. If $T : A \rightarrow B$ and also A is commutative then using (2.7) there is implication

$$2.8 \quad T(a) \in B_{left}^{-1} \setminus B^{-1} \subseteq B^{\cap} \setminus \text{SP}(B) \implies a \notin A^{\cap} = \text{SP}(A)$$

violating generalized permanence. In particular if

$$2.9 \quad T = J : A = \text{comm}_B^2(a) \subseteq B$$

then T is one one and has spectral permanence while if $B_{left}^{-1} \neq B_{right}^{-1}$ then we may take $a \in B_{left}^{-1} \setminus B^{-1}$. \square

For a specific example ([DZH] Theorem 3.2) take $a = u \in B = L(X)$ or $B = B(X)$ with $X = \mathbf{C}^{\mathbf{N}}$ or $X = \ell_2$ to be the (forward) *unilateral shift*:

$$u(\xi)_{n+1} = \xi_n; \quad u(\xi)_1 = 0 \quad :$$

evidently $vu = 1 \neq uv$ where

$$v(\xi)_n = \xi_{n+1}.$$

Alternatively replace the natural embedding J by the left regular representation L . For another example look at the embedding for a compact Hausdorff space X

$$2.10 \quad C(X) \subseteq \mathbf{C}^X$$

or alternatively for a Banach space X

$$2.11 \quad B(X) \subseteq L(X);$$

here of course spectral permanence follows from the *open mapping theorem*.

THEOREM 3. *When $T : A \rightarrow B$ is arbitrary then*

2.12 T one one with simple permanence $\implies T$ has spectral permanence while

2.13 T has spectral and simple permanence $\implies T$ one one.

Proof. The last implication is the argument of Theorem 1; conversely observe

$$2.14 \quad \text{SP}(A)_{\cap} T^{-1} B_{left}^{-1} \subseteq A^{\cup}_{\cap} T^{-1} B_{left}^{-1} \subseteq A^{-1} + T^{-1}(0). \quad \square$$

Notice that (2.10) also shows that spectral permanence and one one do not together guarantee simple permanence.

3. Simply polar operators. When $a \in A = L(X)$ is in the ring of additive maps on an abelian group X then necessary and sufficient that $a \in \text{SP}(A)$ is that it is both “of ascent 1” in the sense that

$$3.1 \quad a^{-2}(0) \subseteq a^{-1}(0)$$

equivalently

$$3.2 \quad a^{-1}(0)_{\cap} a(X) = O$$

and also “of descent 1” in the sense that

$$3.3 \quad a(X) \subseteq a^2(X)$$

equivalently

$$3.4 \quad a^{-1}(0) + a(X) = X.$$

The same conditions characterise simple polarity in the ring of linear mappings on a vector space and also in the ring $A = B(X)$ of bounded linear mappings on a Banach space: here however two or three applications of the open mapping theorem are necessary. For incomplete normed spaces however the conditions (3.1) and (3.3) are not in general sufficient; for example if $a \in A = B(X)$ is one one and onto but not invertible then it will not even be in A^\cap . For a specific example take

$$X = c_{00} \subseteq c_0 \subseteq \mathbf{C}^{\mathbf{N}}$$

to be the “terminating sequences” and $a = w \in A$ the *standard weight*

$$x(\xi)_n = (1/n)\xi_n.$$

Together with the assumption $a \in A^\cap$ the conditions (3.1) and (3.3) may still not be sufficient:

THEOREM 4. *If $a \in A$ is arbitrary in the ring A then with*

$$3.5 \quad b = \begin{pmatrix} a & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in B = A^{2 \times 2} \quad d = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in B$$

then automatically

$$b = bdb \in B^\cap$$

while there is implication

$$b \in Bb^2 \implies a \in A_{left}^{-1}$$

and also implication

$$b \in b^2B \implies a \in A_{right}^{-1}.$$

Hence

$$b \in SP(B) \implies a \in A^{-1}.$$

When in particular $A = L(X)$ is the linear operators on a vector space X then if $a \in A$ is one one or onto then $b \in B$ has ascent or descent one:

$$a^{-1}(0) = O \implies b^{-2}(0) = b^{-1}(0) = \frac{1}{a}X$$

and

$$a(X) = X \implies b(X^2) = b^2(X^2) = \frac{X}{O}.$$

Proof. Look at the top right hand corner element. □

For example ([H] (7.3.6.8)) we may take again $A = B(X) \subseteq L(X)$ with $X = c_{00} \subseteq c_0$ the space of terminating sequences and $a = w \in A$ the “standard weight”. Evidently $a = w$ has a two-sided unbounded inverse in the larger ring $L(X)$ and therefore can have no inverse among the bounded operators $B(X)$.

When $A = B(X)$ for a normed space X and $a \in A$ is of ascent and descent one then [X] each of the following conditions is sufficient for simple polarity:

X complete;

$a \in A$ Fredholm;

$a \in A$ finite rank;

$b \in X$ a normed algebra and $a \in \{L_b R_b\} \subseteq B(X)$.

4. Koliha-Drazin permanence. More generally if there is $n \in \mathbf{N}$ for which a^n is simply polar we shall also say that $a \in A$ is “polar” or *Drazin invertible*. If $a \in A$ is polar then there is $c \in A$ for which $ac = ca$ and $a - aca$ is *nilpotent*. More generally still if we write in a Banach algebra A

$$4.1 \quad \text{QN}(A) = \{a \in A : 1 - \mathbf{C}a \subseteq A^{-1}\}$$

for the *quasinilpotents* of A then $a \in \text{QN}(A)$ if and only if $\sigma_A(a) \subseteq \{0\}$ while with some complex analysis we can prove that if $a \in \text{QN}(A)$ then

$$4.2 \quad \|a^n\|^{1/n} \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

Since (4.1) and (4.2) are equivalent it follows that also equivalent ([H2], [K], [HC]) is the condition

$$4.3 \quad \text{QN}(A) = \{a \in A : 1 - \text{comm}(a)a \subseteq A^{-1}\}.$$

In the ultimate generalization of “group invertibility” we shall write $\text{QP}(A)$ for the *quasipolar* elements $a \in A$ those which have a *spectral projection* $q \in A$ for which

$$4.4 \quad q = q^2; \quad aq = qa; \quad a + q \in A^{-1}; \quad aq \in \text{QN}(A).$$

Now [K] the spectral projection and the *Koliha-Drazin inverse*

$$4.5 \quad a^\bullet = q \quad a^\times = (a + q)^{-1}(1 - q)$$

are uniquely determined and lie in the double commutant of $a \in A$. It is easy to see that if (4.4) is satisfied then

$$4.6 \quad 0 \notin \text{acc } \sigma_A(a) :$$

the origin cannot be an accumulation point of the spectrum; conversely if (4.6) holds then we can display the spectral projection as a sort of “vector-valued winding number”

$$4.7 \quad a^\bullet = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_\gamma (z - a)^{-1} dz$$

where we integrate counter clockwise round a small circle γ centre the origin whose *connected hull* $\eta\gamma$ is a disc whose intersection with the spectrum is at most the point $\{0\}$. By the same technique we can display the Koliha-Drazin inverse in the form

$$4.8 \quad a^\times = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\sigma'(a)} z^{-1}(z - a)^{-1} dz$$

where $\sigma'(a) = \sigma(a) \setminus \{0\}$. Now generally for a homomorphism $T : A \rightarrow B$ there is inclusion

$$4.9 \quad T \text{ QP}(A) \subseteq \text{QP}(B)$$

while if $T : A \rightarrow B$ has spectral permanence in the sense (1.3) then it is clear from (4.6) that there is also “Drazin permanence” in the sense that

$$4.10 \quad \text{QP}(A) = T^{-1}\text{QP}(B) \subseteq A :$$

THEOREM 5. *For Banach algebra homomorphisms $T : A \rightarrow B$ there is implication*

$$4.11 \quad \text{spectral permanence} \implies \text{Drazin permanence.}$$

Proof. Equality in (1.3) expressed [DZH] in terms of the spectrum together with (4.6). □

Obviously if $a \in \text{SP}(A)$ is simply polar with “commuting generalized inverse $c \in A$ then it is quasipolar and

$$4.12 \quad cac = a^\times :$$

its “group inverse” is the same as its “Koliha-Drazin inverse”.

As a sort of converse to Theorem 5 and squaring the circle in Theorem 3:

THEOREM 6. *If $T : A \rightarrow B$ is a Banach algebra homomorphism then*

$$4.13 \quad \text{QP}(A) \cap T^{-1}(B^{-1}) \subseteq A^{-1} + T^{-1}(0)$$

and if $T : A \rightarrow B$ is one one then

$$4.14 \quad \text{QP}(A) \cap T^{-1}\text{SP}(B) = \text{SP}(A).$$

Hence if $T^{-1}(0) = \{0\}$ is one one then

$$4.15 \quad \text{Drazin} \implies \text{simple} \implies \text{spectral permanence.}$$

In particular if $a \in B$ and $T = J : A = \text{comm}^2(a) \subseteq B$ then

$$4.16 \quad A^\cap = T^{-1}\text{SP}(B) = T^{-1}\text{QP}(B).$$

Proof. Uniqueness guarantees that the spectral projection $T(a)^\bullet$ of $Ta \in \text{SP}(B) \subseteq \text{QP}(B)$ commutes with $T(a) \in B$ and one-one-ness guarantees the same for $a \in A$. \square

We recall ([DZH] Theorem 3.2) that following (2.8) with $B = B(\ell_2)$ the shift $a = u \in B^\cap \setminus \text{QP}(B)$.

5. Moore-Penrose permanence. By a *star semigroup* we shall understand a semigroup A with an *involution* $*$: $A \rightarrow A$ satisfying for arbitrary $ac \in A$

$$5.1 \quad (a^*)^* = a; (ca)^* = a^*c^*; 1^* = 1.$$

In rings and algebras involutions are assumed to be additive and “conjugate linear”. Obviously there is implication

$$5.2 \quad a \in H(A) \implies a^* \in H(A)$$

for each $H(A) \in \{A^{-1}A \cap \text{SP}(A)\}$. Elements $a \in A$ are said to be *hermitian* or “real” when they are the same as their adjoints:

$$5.3 \quad \text{Re}(A) = \{a \in A : a^* = a\}.$$

A *Moore-Penrose* inverse for $a \in A$ is $c = a^\dagger \in A$ for which the induced idempotents are hermitian:

$$5.4 \quad a = aca; c = cac; (ca)^* = ca; (ac)^* = ac.$$

We write $A^\dagger \subseteq A^\cap$ for those $a \in A$ for which a^\dagger exists. The argument ([HM] Theorem 5) for “C* algebras” works in semigroups [X2] and says that

$$5.5 \quad a^\dagger \in \text{comm}^2(aa^*)$$

is unique and double commutes with $\{aa^*\}$ in A . The “B* condition” in a Banach algebra A says that

$$5.6 \quad \|a^*a\| = \|a\|^2.$$

It follows

$$ax \in A \implies \|ax\|^2 \leq \|x^*\| \|a^*ax\|$$

and hence that $*$ is *cancellable* in the sense that

$$5.7 \quad a \in A \implies L_{a^*a}^{-1}(0) \subseteq L_a^{-1}(0);$$

in words ([HLa] Definition 1) the pair $(L_{a^*}L_a)$ is “left skew exact”. We need one more object: the “star polars”

$$5.8 \quad \text{SP}^*(A) = \{a \in A : a^*a \in A^\cap\}.$$

Our main objective is to verify again the Harte/Mbekhta observation ([HM] Theorem 6) that in a C^* algebra A

$$5.9 \quad A^\cap \subseteq A^\dagger$$

relatively regular elements always have Moore-Penrose inverse and that [HM2] isometric C^* algebra homomorphisms have generalized permanence. We begin by collecting some elementary observations:

THEOREM 7. *If the involution $*$: $A \rightarrow A$ is cancellable then there is inclusion*

$$5.10 \quad A^\dagger \subseteq SP^*(A) \subseteq A^\cap$$

Proof. With cancellation there is implication

$$a \in SP^*(A) \implies a \in aAa^*a \subseteq Aa^*a \cap aAa$$

and equality

$$\text{Re}(A) \cap SP^*(A) = \text{Re}(A) \cap SP(A).$$

If $a = aca \in A^\dagger$ with $a^\dagger = c$ then

$$a^*a = a^*(ac)(ac)^*a = a^*acc^*a^*a \in a^*aAa^*a;$$

conversely (5.7)

$$a^*a = a^*ada^*a \implies a = ada^*a;$$

hence also

$$a \in Aa^*a \iff a^* \in a^*aA.$$

Hence if $a^* = a$ then (2.4) follows. \square

Now it is clear that isometric C^* homomorphisms have “Moore-Penrose permanence”:

THEOREM 8. *If $T : A \rightarrow B$ is a $*$ homomorphism with simple permanence there is inclusion*

$$5.11 \quad T^{-1}B^\dagger \subseteq A^\dagger.$$

Proof. We claim (cf [K2] Theorem 2.5)

$$A^\dagger = \{a \in A : a^*a \in SP(A)\}$$

with implication

$$a^*a \in SP(A) \implies a^\dagger = (a^*a)^\times a^*.$$

If $a \in A^\dagger$ with $a = aca$ and $(ca)^* = ca$ and $(ac)^* = ac$ then with $d = cc^*$ we have

$$a^*ad = a^*acc^* = a^*c^*a^*c^* = ca$$

and

$$da^*a = cc^*a^*a = ca.$$

Conversely if $a^*a = a^*ada^*a$ with $a^*ad = da^*a$ and (wlog: $d \mapsto \frac{1}{2}(d + d^*)$) $d = d^*$ then using cancellation with $c = da^*$

$$aca = ada^*a = a \text{ and } ca = da^*a = a^*ad = a^*c^*.$$

Now if $a \in A$ there is implication

$$Ta \in B^\dagger \implies T(a^*a) \in \text{SP}(B) \implies a^*a \in \text{SP}(A) \implies a \in A^\dagger. \quad \square$$

Thanks to (5.9) this is of course “generalized permanence”. The Harte/Mbekhta result is derived by using the “poor man’s path” to convert the idempotents ca and ac into self adjoint idempotents. Alternatively thanks to the Gelfand/Naimark/Segal representation we can look first in the very special algebra $D = B(X)$ of bounded Hilbert space operators:

THEOREM 9. *If $d \in D = B(X)$ for a Hilbert space X then*

$$5.12 \quad (d^*d)^{-1}(0) \subseteq d^{-1}(0)$$

and

$$5.13 \quad \text{cl } d(X) + d^{*-1}(0) = X;$$

hence

$$5.14 \quad \text{cl } d(X) = d(X) \implies d^*(X) = d^*d(X) \implies \text{cl } d^*d(X) = d^*d(X).$$

There is inclusion

$$5.15 \quad \text{Re}(D) \cap D^\cap \subseteq \text{SP}(D);$$

hence

$$5.16 \quad d \in D^\cap \implies d \in \text{SP}^*(D) \implies d^*d \in \text{SP}(D) \implies d \in D^\dagger.$$

Proof. For arbitrary $\xi \in X$ there is [DZH] inequality

$$\|d\xi\|^2 \leq \|\xi\| \|d^*d\xi\|$$

and also

$$\text{cl } d(X) = d^{*-1}(0)^\perp. \quad \square$$

Both of the Harte/Mbekhta observations now follow:

THEOREM 10. *If $T : A \rightarrow B$ is isometric then*

$$5.17 \quad T^{-1}(B^\cap) \subseteq A^\dagger.$$

Proof. With $S : B \rightarrow D = B(X)$ a GNS mapping we argue using again Theorem 3 together with “spectral permanence at” a^*a (which has of course real spectrum)

$$Ta \in B^\cap \implies ST(a^*a) \in \text{SP}(D) \implies a^*a \in \text{SP}(A) \implies a \in A^\dagger. \quad \square$$

In Theorem 4.2 of [DZH] we established this using the more esoteric $\text{QP}(A)$ rather than $\text{SP}(A)$. It would be entertaining to be able to replace the GNS representation in Theorem 10 with the much more elementary left regular representation $L : A \rightarrow B(A)$. Specifically (5.7) enables us to replace $d \in D$ by $L_a \in B(A)$ in (5.12) while if $c = a^\dagger$ is a Moore-Penrose inverse for $a \in A$ then if $a' \in A$

$$c = a^\dagger \implies a^*(1 - ac) = 0 \implies a' = a(ca') + (1 - ac)a' \in L_a(A) + L_{a^*}^{-1}(0)$$

giving an alternative to (5.13).

6. Polar decomposition. We conclude with a discussion of the “polar decomposition” of C^* algebra elements. In the algebra of operators $A = B(X)$ it is familiar that an arbitrary element $a \in A$ can be written as the product of a “partial isometry” and a positive operator. It is not clear that this can be done in a general C^* algebra: for example if $A = C[0,1]$ there are only two idempotents in A and hence only two possible partial isometries. We want here to observe that [H3] at least the Moore-Penrose invertibles have polar decomposition. By a *generalized polar decomposition* for an element $a \in A$ of a C^* algebra we shall understand a pair $(uc) \in A^2$ for which $a = uc$ with

$$6.1 \quad u = uu^*u;$$

$$6.2 \quad c = c^*;$$

$$6.3 \quad L_u^{-1}(0) \subseteq L_c^{-1}(0).$$

If in addition

$$6.4 \quad 0 \leq c \text{ and } L_c^{-1}(0) \subseteq L_u^{-1}(0)$$

then we shall say that (uc) a *polar decomposition* of $a \in A$. We claim ([H3] Theorem 4)

THEOREM 11. *If $(uc) \in A^2$ is a generalized polar decomposition of $a \in A$ then*

$$6.5 \quad a^*a = c^2 \text{ and } u^*a = c.$$

If (uc) is a polar decomposition of a then each of u and c are uniquely determined and lie in the double commutant of (aa^) . Also*

$$6.6 \quad aa^*u = ua^*a.$$

Proof. For the first part of (6.5) observe that

$$u^*uc - c \in L_u^{-1}(0) \subseteq L_c^{-1}(0);$$

now

$$(u^*a - c)^*(u^*a - c) = c(u^*u - 1)^2c = 0$$

and the second part of (6.5) follows by cancellation. When (uc) is a polar decomposition then the positivity gives the uniqueness of c :

$$6.7 \quad c = |a| = (a^*a)^{1/2}.$$

The uniqueness of u^*u and uu^* follows from their status as “support” and “co-support” projections for a ; for the uniqueness of u suppose $a = uc = vc$ satisfying (6.1)-(6.4): then

$$(1 - v^*u)c = 0 \implies c(1 - u^*v) = 0 \implies u(1 - u^*v) = 0.$$

Now

$$u^*u = u^*uuv \implies u^*(u - v) = 0$$

similarly $v^*(u - v) = 0$ and hence $v = u$ by cancellation.

It is clear from (6.7) that c is in the double commutant of (aa^*) as are also the support and cosupport u^*u and uu^* . Finally if $d \in \text{comm}(aa^*)$ then it also commutes with each of c , u^*u and uu^* and hence

$$cu^*d = dcu^* = cdu^* \implies uu^*d = udu^* \implies duu^* = uu^*d = udu^*$$

and hence

$$du = duu^*uudu^*u = uu^*ud = ud.$$

Finally for (6.6)

$$aa^*u = uc^2u^*u = ua^*au^*u = ua^*a. \quad \square$$

We shall write

$$6.8 \quad (uc) = (\text{sgn}(a)|a|).$$

Evidently taking limits of polynomials in a^*a

$$6.9 \quad |a^*|u = u|a|;$$

it follows

$$6.10 \quad (\text{sgn}(a^*)|a^*|) = (\text{sgn}(a)^*\text{sgn}(a)|a|\text{sgn}(a)^*).$$

We can characterise ([H3] Theorem 5) relative regularity in terms of the polar decomposition:

THEOREM 12. *If $a \in A^\dagger \subseteq A$ has a Moore-Penrose inverse then it has a polar decomposition with*

$$6.11 \quad \operatorname{sgn}(a) = (a^\dagger)^*|a|.$$

If $a \in A$ has polar decomposition $(u|a|)$ then

$$6.12 \quad d = |a| + 1 - u^*u \implies L_d^{-1}(0) = \{0\}$$

and

$$6.13 \quad a \in A^\dagger \implies d \in A^{-1} \implies a \in A^\cap.$$

Proof. We argue with $c = a^\dagger$ and $u = c^*|a|$ that

$$uu^*u = c^*|a|^2cc^*a = c^*a^*acc^*|a| = (ac)^*(ac)c^*|a| = c^*a^*c^*|a| = c^*|a|$$

and

$$u|a| = c^*|a|^2 = c^*a^*a = (ac)a = a.$$

If $x \in A$ is arbitrary there is implication

$$dx = 0 \implies ucx = 0 \implies cx = 0 = u^*ucx = 0 \implies u^*ux = 0 = (1 - u^*u)x = 0.$$

Also

$$d \in A^{-1} \implies ad^{-1}u^*a = udd^{-1}a^*a = ua^*a = a.$$

Conversely if $a \in A^\dagger$ then $a^\dagger a = u^*u$ and $aa^\dagger = uu^*$ and hence

$$d' = (a^\dagger a + 1 - u^*u) \implies dd' = 1 = d'd. \quad \square$$

REFERENCES

- [C] S.R. CARADUS, *Generalized inverses and operator theory*, Queen's Paper in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario, 1978.
- [CPY] S.R. CARADUS, W.E. PFAFFENBERG AND B. YOOD, *Calkin algebras and algebras of operators on Banach spaces*, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1974.
- [CH] D.S. CVETKOVIĆ-ILIĆ AND R.E. HARTE, On Jacobson's lemma and Drazin invertibility, *Appl. Math. Letters* **23** (2010), 5417–5420.
- [DHS] D.S. DJORDJEVIĆ, R.E. HARTE AND C.M. STACK, On left-right consistency in rings, *Math. Proc. Royal Irish Acad.* **106A** (2006), 11–17.
- [DKS] D.S. DJORDJEVIĆ, J.J. KOLIHA AND I. STRAŠKRABA, Factorization of EP elements of C^* algebras, *Linear Multilinear Alg.* **57** (2009), 587–594.
- [DR] D.S. DJORDJEVIĆ AND V. RAKOČEVIĆ, *Lectures on generalized inverses*, University of Niš, Niš, 2008.
- [DZH] D.S. DJORDJEVIĆ, S.Č. ŽIVKOVIĆ-ZLATANOVIĆ AND R.E. HARTE, Spectral permanence and the Moore-Penrose inverse, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **140**(9) (2012), 3237–3245.

- [GR] J.J. GROBLER AND H. RAUBENHEIMER, Spectral properties of elements of different Banach algebras, *Glasgow Math. Jour.* **33** (1991), 11–20.
- [H] R.E. HARTE, *Invertibility and Singularity for Bounded Linear Operators*, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York/Basel, 1988.
- [H2] _____, On quasinilpotents in rings, *PanAmerican Math. J.* **1** (1991), 10–16.
- [H3] _____, Polar decomposition and the Moore-Penrose inverse, *PanAmerican Math. J.* **2** (1992), 71–76.
- [HLa] R.E. HARTE AND D.R. LARSON, Skew exactness perturbation, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **132** (2004), 2603–2611.
- [HM] R.E. HARTE AND M. MBEKHTA, On generalized inverses in C^* algebras, *Studia Math.* **103** (1992), 71–77.
- [HM2] _____, On generalized inverses in C^* algebras II, *Studia Math.* **106** (1993), 129–138.
- [HO’S] R.E. HARTE AND M. O’SEARCOID, Positive elements and the B^* condition, *Math. Zeit.* **193** (1986), 1–9.
- [HLu] R.E. HARTWIG AND J. LU, On the group structure of unit regular ring elements, *Pacific J. Math.* **71** (1977), 449–461.
- [KDH] G. KANTUN-MONTIEL, S.V. DJORDJEVIĆ AND R.E. HARTE, On semigroup inverses, *Funct. Anal. Approx. Comp.* **1** (2009), 11–18.
- [K] J.J. KOLIHA, A generalized Drazin inverse, *Glasgow Math. Jour.* **38** (1996), 367–381.
- [K2] _____, The Drazin and Moore-Penrose inverses in C^* algebras, *Math. Proc. Royal Irish Acad.* **99A** (1999), 17–27.
- [X] X. MARY, On the converse of a theorem of Harte and Mbekhta, *Studia Math.* **184** (2008), 149–152.
- [X2] _____, On generalized inverses and Green’s relations, *Linear Alg. Appl.* **434** (2011), 1836–1844.
- [S] CH. SCHMOEGER, On a class of generalized Fredholm operators, I, *Demonstratio Mathematica* **30** (1997), 829–842.

Received 29 July, 2013.